



## 160 ECONOMIC RESEARCH TEAM

TEAM NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

### RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER TEAM

#### SCORE

Judge 1 (100 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 2 (100 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 3 (100 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Total Judges' Points \_\_\_\_\_

Divided by # of Judges \_\_\_\_\_

AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE \_\_\_\_\_ (100 maximum)

#### TECHNICAL SCORE

Judge 1 (160 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 2 (160 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 3 (160 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Total Judges' Points \_\_\_\_\_

Divided by # of Judges \_\_\_\_\_

AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE \_\_\_\_\_ (160 maximum)

TOTAL SCORE \_\_\_\_\_ (260 maximum)

RANK

## (160) Economic Research Team

### Presentation Scoring Rubric

Required Elements				
(If any questions below are checked NO, stop scoring and assign a TOTAL score of 0)				
Team followed the topic.				<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
Team followed Copyright and/or Fair Use Guidelines.				<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
If answered no to either question, please stop scoring and provide a brief reason for the <i>disqualification</i> :				
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-5 points	Meets Expectations 6-10 points	Above Expectations 11-15 points	Points Awarded
<b>Delivery &amp; Engagement</b>	Team rarely maintains eye contact, uses minimal gestures and body language, or has significant inconsistencies in tone and pace.	Team occasionally breaks eye contact, uses limited gestures and body language, or has some inconsistencies in tone and pace.	Team maintains good eye contact, uses appropriate gestures and body language, and varies their tone and pace adequately.	/15
<b>Organization, Design &amp; Structure</b>	Presentation lacks coherence, and it is unclear how each team member's role contributes to the presentation. Transitions between speakers and/or points are awkward or absent.	Presentation content has some gaps, and it is not always clear how each team member's role contributes to the presentation. Transitions between speakers and/or points are choppy.	Presentation content flows adequately, and each team member's role is clear and integrated into the presentation. Transitions between speakers and/or points are smooth.	/15
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-15 points	Meets Expectations 16-30 points	Meets Expectations 31-45 points	Points Awarded
<b>Content Knowledge</b>	Presentation demonstrates a limited understanding of the topic and includes mostly irrelevant or inaccurate information.	Presentation demonstrates a basic understanding of the topic and includes a mix of relevant and irrelevant information.	Presentation demonstrates a good understanding of the topic and includes relevant and accurate information.	/45
Evaluation Criteria	Below Expectations 0-4 points	Meets Expectations 5-7 points	Above Expectations 8-10 points	Points Awarded
<b>Judges' Questions</b>	Responses lack depth and fail to demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the topic.	Some responses lack detail or clarity. The understanding of the topic is partially demonstrated.	Responses are detailed, insightful, and effectively address the judges' questions.	/10
<b>Timing</b>	Setup lasted no longer than three (3) minutes, and the presentation lasted no more than seven (7) minutes.			/10
<b>Team Members</b>	At least two original team members were present during the presentation.			/5
<b>TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS</b>				<b>/100</b>

Props and/or additional items shall not be used as a basis for scoring.

**PRESENTATION WILL BE STOPPED AT SEVEN (7) MINUTES**



---

## JUDGES COMMENTS

### (160) Economic Research Team (S)

Contestant ID | Team Number \_\_\_\_\_ Judge Number \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)

(Judges: Please provide constructive feedback, highlighting both strengths and areas for improvement in your analysis of the competitor.)

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)